

APPENDIX F			
ALASKA DIGITAL EMPOWERMENT CAPACITY GRANT PROGRAM			
APPLICANT RISK ASSESSMENT MATRIX			
Grantee Name:		Grants Administrator:	

	Risk Level					
	Low Risk		Moderate Risk		High Risk	
Criteria	Description	Rank	Description	Rank	Description	Rank
Administrative Capabilities	Staff in key positions demonstrates capacity to administer the project.	1	Staff in key positions requires some guidance in grant responsibilities but demonstrates some capacity to administer the project.	2	Staff in key positions does not demonstrate the capacity to administer the project without frequent assistance and guidance.	3
Staff Turnover	No change in key staff in over 2 years.	1	Key staff has between 1 and 2 years' experience.	2	Key staff has less than one year experience.	3
Project Progress	Progress reports always contain updates about project progress or reasons for delays.	1	Progress reports sometimes provide information on project progress or delays.	2	Progress reports seldom report status of project.	3
Financial	Financial management system in place.	1	Financial management system weak and/or inconsistently utilized.	2	No evidence of financial management system.	3
Reporting	Financial and Progress reports are usually submitted in a timely and accurate matter.	1	Financial and Progress reports are sometimes late and/or contain errors or occasional disallowed costs.	2	Financial and Progress reports are regularly late and/or contain significant errors, disallowed costs or grantee regularly asks for extensions.	3
Responsiveness	Grantee responds timely to inquiries and requests.	1	Grantee generally requires a follow up call or email reminder to inquiries or requests.	2	Grantee rarely responds to emails or phone calls and is consistently difficult to reach.	3
Total Grantee Funding	\$100,000 or less	1	\$100,000 - \$500,000	2	\$500,000+	3
Subcontracts	Grantee does not subcontract	1	1 – 2 Subcontracts	2	3+ Subcontracts	3
On-Site Monitoring	On-site visit conducted in the last 2 years with no findings.	1	On-site visit conducted within the last 2 years with findings that have been resolved.	2	No site visit has been conducted in the last 2 years.	3

SUPERVISOR REVIEW: _____	RISK LEVEL:		TOTAL EVALUATION SCORE:	
--------------------------	-------------	--	-------------------------	--

Evaluation Score Key: Low Risk: 9-13 points Risk: 14-21 points High Risk: 22-27 points	GA Comments (required):
Date Risk Assessment was completed: _____	OVERRIDE (Only complete this section if you disagree with scoring results. Give reason for a change in evaluation score.):

Evaluation Score
0